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## Committee's Charge

- Review available data
- Consider the basic characteristics of typical modes used by students
- Assess issues relevant to determining risk associated with each mode


## Committee's Charge (continued)

- Assess the efficacy of drawing conclusions from the available data
- Evaluate the availability and adequacy of the salient data


## The Problem

- School-aged children in MV crashes during normal school travel hours
- 800 killed/year
- 152,000 injured/year
- Relative risks of various modes not well understood
- How do local decision makers balance travel safety with environmental and resource constraints?


## Fundamental Approach

- Risk Management Framework
- Considers school transportation as a whole
- If you modify one area (e.g., less bus travel) you affect other areas


## Travel Modes

- School bus
- Other bus (transit)
- Passenger vehicle (driver 19 and older)
- Passenger vehicle (driver 18 and younger)
- Bicycle
- Walking


## Typical "School Travel Times"

- Purpose of trip not available
- School days
- Weekdays
- September 1 through 15 June
- Time of day
- Morning: 6-9 am
- Afternoon: 2-5 pm


## Distribution of Travel Modes by Age



## Exposure and Outcome Comparison



## Injury and Fatality Rates

|  | Injuries |  | Fatalities |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per 100 <br> Million <br> Student <br> Trips | Per 100 <br> Million <br> Student- <br> Miles | Per 100 <br> Million <br> Student <br> Trips | Per 100 <br> Million <br> Student- <br> Miles |
|  | 100 | 20 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Mode | 120 | 20 | 0.1 | $<0.1$ |
| School Bus | 490 | 90 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| Other Bus | 2,300 | 430 | 13.2 | 2.4 |
| Passenger Vehicle, Adult Driver | 1,610 | 2,050 | 9.6 | 12.2 |
| Passenger Vehicle, Teen Driver | 310 | 590 | 4.6 | 8.7 |
| Bicycle | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |
| Walking |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Rate |  |  |  |  |

Points

- Buses are very safe
- "Per trip" and "per mile" measures are different
- Teen drivers and bicycles have high rates


## Scenarios

- Hypothetical examples of how national risk estimates could inform decisions
- Changing school bus pick-up distance for an elementary school
- Increasing parking for student drivers at a high school


## Suburban Elementary School

- 250 children
- 180 days/year
- All live within 10 miles of school
- No transit bus service available

| Miles from <br> School | Number of <br> Children |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 | 48 |
| Between 1-1.5 | 24 |
| Between 1.5-2 | 19 |
| Between 2-3 | 38 |
| Between 3-4 | 32 |
| Between 4-5 | 27 |
| Between 5-6 | 22 |
| Between 6-7 | 18 |
| Between 7-8 | 13 |
| Between 8-9 | 7 |
| Between 9-10 | 2 |
| Total | 250 |

# Distribution of Travel Modes under Different Minimum Walking Distances 

A change in one mode will affect the others

| One-mile pick-up distance |  |  |  |  |  | Two-mile pick-up distance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miles from School | Walk | Bike | School Bus | Adult Driver | Student Driver | Walk | Bike | School Bus | Adult Driver | Student Driver |
| Less than 1 | 60\% | 30\% | - | 10\% | - | 60\% | 30\% | - | 10\% | - |
| Between 1-1.5 | 30\% | 20\% | 35\% | 15\% | - | 50\% | 35\% | - | 15\% | - |
| Between 1.5-2 | 8\% | 8\% | 49\% | 35\% | 1\% | 36\% | 26\% | - | 37\% | 1\% |
| Between 2-3 | 3\% | 8\% | 49\% | 40\% | 1\% | 3\% | 8\% | 49\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Between 3-4 | - | - | 54\% | 45\% | 1\% | - | - | 54\% | 45\% | 1\% |
| Between 4-5 | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Between 5-6 | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Between 6-7 | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Between 7-8 | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Between 8-9 | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |
| Between 9-10 | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% | - | - | 59\% | 40\% | 1\% |

## Impact of Different Distances



## Adding More Student Parking

| 300 Student Parking Spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $14-15$ years olds |  |  |  | $16-18$ years old |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \% \text { per } \\ \text { mode } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Students } \end{gathered}$ | Injuries per Year | Fatalities per Year | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { per } \\ \text { mode } \end{gathered}$ | \# of Students | Injuries per Year | Fatalities per Year |
| School Bus | 35\% | 420 | 0.21 | 0.0004 | 25\% | 300 | 0.45 | 0.0002 |
| Other Bus | 10\% | 120 | 0.02 | 0.0000 | 5\% | 60 | 0.03 | 0.0000 |
| PV (driver 19 and older) | 20\% | 240 | 0.45 | 0.0011 | 25\% | 300 | 0.99 | 0.0048 |
| Walking | 15\% | 180 | 0.18 | 0.0023 | 12\% | 144 | 0.18 | 0.0019 |
| Bicycling | 5\% | 60 | 0.28 | 0.0010 | 3\% | 36 | 1.00 | 0.0032 |
| PV (driver 18 and under) | 15\% | 180 | 2.76 | 0.0184 | 30\% | 360 | 1.38 | 0.0152 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total by age group | 100\% | 1,200 | 3.90 | 0.023 | 100\% | 1,200 | 4.02 | 0.025 |
| Years between events |  |  | 0.3 | 43.1 |  |  | 0.2 | 39.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Scho | ool totals | 7.92 | 0.05 |
|  |  | Years between events for school |  |  |  |  | 0.13 | 20.56 |

Base
Case

## Adding More Student Parking

| 600 Student Parking Spaces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | With <br> New <br> Parking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14.15 years olds |  |  |  | $16-18$ years old |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { per } \\ \text { mode } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Students } \end{array}$ | Injuries per Year | Fatalities per Year | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \% \text { per } \\ \text { mode } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \# of } \\ \text { Students } \end{array}$ | Injuries per Year | Fatalities per Year |  |
| School Bus | 25\% | 300 | 0.15 | 0.0003 | 15\% | 180 | 0.32 | 0.0001 |  |
| Other Bus | 5\% | 60 | 0.01 | 0.0000 | 5\% | 60 | 0.01 | 0.0000 |  |
| PV (driver 19 and older) | 20\% | 240 | 0.45 | 0.0011 | 20\% | 240 | 1.24 | 0.0038 |  |
| Walking | 12\% | 144 | 0.14 | 0.0019 | 7\% | 84 | 0.14 | 0.0011 |  |
| Bicycling | 3\% | 36 | 0.17 | 0.0006 | 3\% | 36 | 0.60 | 0.0032 |  |
| PV (driver 18 and under) | 35\% | 420 | 6.43 | 0.0428 | 50\% | 600 | 2.76 | 0.0254 |  |
| Total by age group | 100\% | 1,200 | 7.36 | 0.047 | 100\% | 1,200 | 5.07 | 0.034 |  |
| Years between events |  |  | 0.1 | 21.4 |  |  | 0.2 | 29.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Scho | ool totals | 12.43 | 0.08 |  |
|  |  | Years between events for school |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 12.43 |  |

## Adding More Student Parking

Net
Impact

| Net effect of new policy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Injuries per Year | Fatalities per Year |
| \% change in risk | 57\% | 65\% |
|  |  |  |

## Is the Additional Risk Acceptable?

- Local school decision
- How would the savings of reduced bus service be used?
- For this school, is the safety of the walking and bicycling much better than the national averages?
- What can be done to improve the various modes?


## Recommendations

1:
School transportation planners and policy makers at all levels should analyze transportation risks comprehensively in their decision making related to school travel.

## Recommendations

2:
Using a systematic risk-management framework, school districts should identify risk factors most salient for modes used by children in their community and identify approaches to manage and reduce those risks, including shifts to safer modes and safety improvements within each mode.

## Recommendations

3:
USDOT should disseminate information on the relative risks of various modes of travel for school and school-related activities and on possible ways to mitigate the risks.
USDOT should also use this information to assess what role, if any, federal policy makers should have in efforts to improve the transportation safety of school children and the cost-effectiveness of specific safety measures.

## Recommendations

4:
The compatibility and completeness of existing databases should be improved to allow better risk estimates.
To the extent possible, critical data elements (e.g., vehicle classifications, roadway classifications) should be included and defined consistently in all the datasets.

## Recommendations

## 5:

USDOT and appropriate agencies, in consultation with outside experts, should analyze the advisability and costeffectiveness of establishing and maintaining any new school transportationrelated database.

## Thank You!

## Data Collection Opportunities

- Need for consistent data on ridership
- Random, unbiased collection schemes
- Sample from all trips
- Include both to and from school
- Select dates across the entire school year
- After-school activity trips
- If possible, collection should not be related to payments to school districts


## Exposure (Trips and Student-Miles)

| 100 Million | 100 Million |
| :---: | :---: |
| Student Trips | Student-Miles |


| Mode | (\%) | (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| School Bus | $58(25)$ | $313(28)$ |
| Other Bus | $5(2)$ | $38(3)$ |
| Passenger Vehicle, Adult Driver | $105(45)$ | $580(51)$ |
| Passenger Vehicle, Teen Driver | $34(14)$ | $184(16)$ |
| Bicycle | $5(2)$ | $4(<1)$ |
| Walking | $28(12)$ | $15(1)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 5 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 4 ( 1 0 0 )}$ |

Points

- Adult drivers are responsible for most trips and miles
- School buses are second in both categories
- Miles/trip varies across modes


## Injuries and Fatalities

| Mode |
| :--- |
| School Bus |
| Other Bus |
| Passenger Vehicle, Adult Driver |
| Passenger Vehicle, Teen Driver |
| Bicycle |
| Walking |
| Total |


| Injuries (\%) | Fatalities (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 , 0 0 0}(4)$ | $20^{*}(2)$ |
| $550(<1)$ | $1(<1)$ |
| $51,000(33)$ | $169(20)$ |
| $78,200(51)$ | $448(54)$ |
| $7,700(5)$ | $46(6)$ |
| $8,800(6)$ | $131(16)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5 2 , 2 5 0}(\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{8 3 0}(\mathbf{9 9})$ |

## Points

- Teen drivers are responsible for most injuries and fatalities
- School buses are ranked fifth in both categories
- *School bus mode includes related pedestrians incidents (Fatalities: 10 caused by bus, 5 caused by cars passing buses) (Injuries: 300 caused by bus or cars passing bus)


## Number of Students by Travel Mode

 (1-Mile Minimum Pick-up)Miles from
School

Walking Bicycling
School
Bus

Driver 19 or +

Driver
18 or -

Less than 1
Between 1-1.5
Between 1.5-2
Between 2-3
Between 3-4
Between 4-5
Between 5-6
Between 6-7
Between 7-8
Between 8-9
Between 9-10
Total Students
\% of Students

| 28.5 | 14.3 | - | 4.8 | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7.1 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 3.6 | - |
| 1.4 | 1.4 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 0.2 |
| 0.9 | 2.8 | 18.4 | 15.0 | 0.4 |
| - | - | 17.6 | 14.6 | 0.3 |
| - | - | 16.2 | 11.0 | 0.3 |
| - | - | 13.3 | 9.0 | 0.2 |
| - | - | 10.3 | 7.0 | 0.2 |
| - | - | 7.4 | 5.0 | 0.1 |
| - | - | 4.4 | 3.0 | 0.1 |
| - | - | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 38 | 23 | 107 | 81 | 2 |
| $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## Miles per Year by Travel Mode

 (1-Mile Minimum Pick-up)| Miles from School | Walking | Bicycling | School Bus | Driver <br> 19 or + | Driver 18 or - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 | 6,413 | 3,463 | - | 1,283 | - |
| Between 1-1.5 | 4,008 | 2,886 | 6,546 | 2,405 | - |
| Between 1.5-2 | 1,107 | 1,196 | 10,129 | 6,202 | 177 |
| Between 2-3 | 1,055 | 3,417 | 28,941 | 20,250 | 506 |
| Between 3-4 | - | - | 38,698 | 27,641 | 614 |
| Between 4-5 | - | - | 45,998 | 26,730 | 668 |
| Between 5-6 | - | - | 45,998 | 26,730 | 668 |
| Between 6-7 | - | - | 42,281 | 24,570 | 614 |
| Between 7-8 | - | - | 34,847 | 20,250 | 506 |
| Between 8-9 | - | - | 23,696 | 13,770 | 344 |
| Between 9-10 | - | - | 8,828 | 5,130 | 128 |
| Total miles/yr | 12,582 | 10,962 | 285,961 | 174,960 | 4,227 |
| \% miles | 3\% | 2\% | 59\% | 36\% | 1\% |

## Risk Measures (1-Mile Minimum Pick-up)

\left.| 5-10 year OIds | Rates/100 million miles |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| School Bus | Fatalities | Injuries |
| Other Bus | 0.10 | 13 |
| PV (driver 19 and older) | 0.01 | 12 |
| Walking | 0.27 | 77 |
| Bicycling | 13.61 | 726 |
| PV (driver 18 and younger) | 21.16 | 2,625 |$\right\}$ National averages


| Injuries/yr \% of Injuries Fatalitieslyr \% of Fatalities | Walking | Bicycling | School Bus | Driver <br> 19 or + | Driver 18 or - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
|  | 14\% | 44\% | 6\% | 20\% | 16\% |
|  | 0.0017 | 0.0023 | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.0006 |
|  | 32\% | 43\% | 5\% | 9\% | 12\% |
|  | 0.659 | Total injuries per year Total fatalities per year |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0054 |  |  |  |  |

